
Supplementary Material: A Single-Pass Algorithm for Efficiently Recovering Sparse Cluster Centers of High-dimensional Data

Jinfeng Yi

JINFENGY@US.IBM.COM

IBM Thomas J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598, USA

Lijun Zhang

ZHANGLJ@LAMDA.NJU.EDU.CN

National Key Laboratory for Novel Software Technology, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210023, China

Jun Wang

WANGJUN@US.IBM.COM

IBM Thomas J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, NY 10598, USA

Rong Jin

RONGJIN@CSE.MSU.EDU

Anil K. Jain

JAIN@CSE.MSU.EDU

Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824 USA

Theorem 1. Let $\epsilon = (6m)^{-1}$ be a parameter to control the success probability. Assume

$$\frac{1}{2^{1-\epsilon}} \max_{1 \leq i \leq K} \left(\frac{1}{2^{1-\epsilon}} \right) \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{1}{2^{1-\epsilon}} \max_{1 \leq i \leq K} \left(\frac{1}{2^{1-\epsilon}} \right) \quad (2)$$

$$T \leq \max \left(\frac{18}{\epsilon} \ln \frac{2K}{\epsilon}; \frac{3c_2}{1-\epsilon}; \left(\frac{6c_3}{1-\epsilon} \right)^2 (\ln n + \ln d) \right) \quad (3)$$

where c_1, c_2 and c_3 are some universal constants. Then, with a probability at least $1 - 6m^{-1}$, we have

$$\| \hat{\mathbf{c}}_i^{m+1} - \mathbf{c}_i^* \|_2 \leq \max \left(\frac{c_1}{2^m}, \frac{1}{2^m} \right).$$

Corollary 1. The convergence rate for ϵ , the maximum difference between the optimal cluster centers and the estimated ones, is $O(\sqrt{(s \log d)/n})$ before reaching the optimal difference ϵ^* .

1. Proof of Corollary 1

According to the assumption of ϵ in (2), we know that $\frac{1}{\lambda^*} \leq \frac{\sqrt{s}}{1-\epsilon}$. Since the value of T is dominated by the last term in the right side of (3), we have $T \leq \frac{s \log d}{1-\epsilon}$, which implies

$$n \leq 2^m T \leq 2^m \frac{s \log d}{1-\epsilon}.$$

Combining with the conclusion $\delta_{m+1} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^m}}$, we have

$$\delta_{m+1} \leq \sqrt{\frac{s \log d}{n}}.$$

Lemma 1. Let δ^t be the maximum difference between the optimal cluster centers and the ones estimated from iteration t , and $\beta \in (0, 1)$ be the failure probability. Assume

$$\delta^t \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5 \ln(3K)}, \quad \max_{j \in [K]} \delta_j^t \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{5 \ln(3K)}, \quad (4)$$

$$j S^t j \geq \frac{18}{0} \ln \frac{2K}{0}; \quad (5)$$

$$\delta^t \leq c_1 \exp\left(\frac{(1 - \frac{2}{t})^2}{8(1 + \frac{2}{t})^2}\right) \left(\delta_0 + \sqrt{\ln j S^t j}\right) + \frac{c_2}{j S^t j} + c_3 \frac{\sqrt{\ln j S^t j} + \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{j S^t j}}}{\sqrt{j S^t j}}; \quad (6)$$

for some constants c_1, c_2 and c_3 . Then with a probability $1 - \beta$, we have

$$\delta_{t+1} \leq 2^{\frac{\rho}{S}} \delta^t.$$

2. Proof of Lemma 1

For the simplicity of analysis, we will drop the superscript t through this analysis.

2.1. Preliminaries

We denote by C_k the support of \mathbf{c}_k and $\bar{C}_k = [d] \setminus C_k$. For any vector \mathbf{z} , $\mathbf{z}(C)$ is defined as $[\mathbf{z}(C)]_i = z_i$ if $i \in C$ and zero, otherwise.

For any $\mathbf{x}_i \in S$, we use k_i to denote the index of the true cluster, and \hat{k}_i to denote index of the cluster assigned by the nearest neighbor search, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_i &= \mathbf{c}_{k_i} + \mathbf{g}_i \text{ and } \mathbf{g}_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I); \\ \hat{k}_i &= \arg \max_{j \in [K]} \hat{\mathbf{c}}_j^\top \mathbf{x}_i; \end{aligned}$$

Then, we can partition data points in S based on either the ground truth or the assigned cluster. Let S_k be the subset of data points in S that belong to the k -th cluster, i.e.,

$$S_k = \{\mathbf{x}_i \in S : \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{c}_k + \mathbf{g}_i \text{ and } \mathbf{g}_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)\} \quad (7)$$

Let \hat{S}_k be the subset of data points that are assigned to the k -th cluster based on the nearest neighbor search, i.e.,

$$\hat{S}_k = \{\mathbf{x}_i \in S : k = \arg \max_{j \in [K]} \hat{\mathbf{c}}_j^\top \mathbf{x}_i\} \quad (8)$$

2.2. The Main Analysis

Let $L_k(\mathbf{c})$ be the objective function in Step 11 of Algorithm 1. We expand $L_k(\mathbf{c})$ as

$$\begin{aligned} L_k(\mathbf{c}) &= k C_k + k \|\mathbf{c}_k\|^2 + \frac{1}{j \hat{S}_k j} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \hat{S}_k} k \mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{c}_k - k \|\mathbf{c}_k\|^2 - \frac{2}{j \hat{S}_k j} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \hat{S}_k} (\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{c}_k)^\top (\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{c}_k) \\ &= k C_k + k \|\mathbf{c}_k\|^2 + \frac{1}{j \hat{S}_k j} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \hat{S}_k} k \mathbf{x}_i^\top \mathbf{c}_k - k \|\mathbf{c}_k\|^2 \\ &\quad - \underbrace{2(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{c}_k)^\top \frac{1}{j \hat{S}_k j} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \hat{S}_k \setminus S_k} (\mathbf{c}_{k_i} - \mathbf{c}_k)}_{A_k} - \underbrace{2(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{c}_k)^\top \frac{1}{j \hat{S}_k j} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \hat{S}_k} \mathbf{g}_i}_{B_k}; \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Let \mathbf{c}_k^* be the optimal solution that minimizes $L_k(\mathbf{c})$, and define $\mathbf{f}_k = \mathbf{c}_k^* - \mathbf{c}_k$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} L_k(\mathbf{c}_k^*) - L_k(\mathbf{c}_k) &= k\mathbf{f}_k^\top \mathbf{c}_k + k\mathbf{f}_k^\top k^2 - 2\mathbf{f}_k^\top A_k - 2\mathbf{f}_k^\top B_k - k\mathbf{c}_k k_1 \\ &\quad k\mathbf{c}_k k_1 - k\mathbf{f}_k(C_k)k_1 + k\mathbf{f}_k(\bar{C}_k)k_1 + k\mathbf{f}_k k^2 - 2\mathbf{f}_k^\top A_k - 2\mathbf{f}_k^\top B_k - k\mathbf{c}_k k_1 \\ &\quad k\mathbf{f}_k(C_k)k_1 + k\mathbf{f}_k(\bar{C}_k)k_1 + k\mathbf{f}_k k^2 - 2k\mathbf{f}_k k_1 kA_k k_\infty - 2k\mathbf{f}_k k_1 kB_k k_\infty \\ &= (k + 2kA_k k_\infty + 2kB_k k_\infty)k\mathbf{f}_k(C_k)k_1 + (k - 2kA_k k_\infty - 2kB_k k_\infty)k\mathbf{f}_k(\bar{C}_k)k_1 + k\mathbf{f}_k k^2 \\ &\quad \sqrt{jC_k j} (k + 2kA_k k_\infty + 2kB_k k_\infty)k\mathbf{f}_k(C_k)k + (k - 2kA_k k_\infty - 2kB_k k_\infty)k\mathbf{f}_k(\bar{C}_k)k_1 + k\mathbf{f}_k k^2; \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if

$$2kA_k k_\infty + 2kB_k k_\infty;$$

we have

$$k\mathbf{f}_k(C_k)k^2 - k\mathbf{f}_k k^2 - (k + 2kA_k k_\infty + 2kB_k k_\infty)\sqrt{jC_k j}k\mathbf{f}_k(C_k)k - 2\sqrt{jC_k j}k\mathbf{f}_k(C_k)k - k\mathbf{f}_k(C_k)k - 2\sqrt{jC_k j};$$

and thus

$$k\mathbf{f}_k k^2 - 2\sqrt{jC_k j}k\mathbf{f}_k(C_k)k - 4\sqrt{jC_k j} - k\mathbf{f}_k k - 2\sqrt{jC_k j};$$

In summary, if

$$2kA_k k_\infty + 2kB_k k_\infty; \delta k \geq [K]$$

we have

$$\max_{1 \leq k \leq K} k\mathbf{c}_k^* - \mathbf{c}_k k \leq 2\sqrt{S} :$$

In the following, we discuss how to bound $kA_k k_\infty$ and $kB_k k_\infty$.

2.3. Bound for $kA_k k_\infty$

From the definition of A_k in (9), we have

$$kA_k k_\infty \leq 2 \frac{j\hat{S}_k n S_{kj}}{j\hat{S}_{kj}}.$$

2.3.1. LOWER BOUND OF $j\hat{S}_{kj}$

First, we show that the size of S_k is lower-bounded, which means a significant amount of data points in S belong to the k -th cluster. Recall that w_1, \dots, w_K are the weight of the Gaussian mixtures, and $w_0 = \min_{1 \leq i \leq K} w_i$. According to the Chernoff bound (Angluin & Valiant, 1979) provided in Appendix A, we have, with a probability at least 1

$$jS_{kj} \geq k j S_j \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{k j S_j} \ln \frac{K}{\delta}} \right) \stackrel{(5)}{\geq} \frac{2}{3} k j S_j; \delta k \geq [K]; \quad (10)$$

Next, we prove that a larger amount of data points in S_k belong to \hat{S}_k . We begin by analyzing the probability that the assigned cluster \hat{k}_i of \mathbf{x}_i is the true cluster k_i . The similarity between \mathbf{x}_i and the estimated cluster centers can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbf{c}}_{k_i}^\top \mathbf{x}_i &= \hat{\mathbf{c}}_{k_i}^\top (\mathbf{c}_{k_i} + \mathbf{g}_i) = k\mathbf{c}_{k_i} k^2 + [\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{k_i} - \mathbf{c}_{k_i}]^\top \mathbf{c}_{k_i} + \hat{\mathbf{c}}_{k_i}^\top \mathbf{g}_i \\ &\geq 1 - k\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{k_i} - \mathbf{c}_{k_i} k - j\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{k_i}^\top \mathbf{g}_i j - 1 - (1 + \delta) \left| \mathbf{g}_i^\top \frac{\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{k_i}}{k\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{k_i} k} \right|; \\ \hat{\mathbf{c}}_j^\top \mathbf{x}_i &= \hat{\mathbf{c}}_j^\top (\mathbf{c}_{k_i} + \mathbf{g}_i) = \mathbf{c}_j^\top \mathbf{c}_{k_i} + [\hat{\mathbf{c}}_j - \mathbf{c}_j]^\top \mathbf{c}_{k_i} + \hat{\mathbf{c}}_j^\top \mathbf{g}_i \\ &\leq k\hat{\mathbf{c}}_j - \mathbf{c}_j k + j\hat{\mathbf{c}}_j^\top \mathbf{g}_i j + \delta + (1 + \delta) \left| \mathbf{g}_i^\top \frac{\hat{\mathbf{c}}_j}{k\hat{\mathbf{c}}_j k} \right|; j \neq k_i; \end{aligned}$$

Hence, \mathbf{x}_i will be assigned to cluster k_i if

$$1 - (1 + \frac{1}{2}) \left| \mathbf{g}_i^\top \frac{\hat{\mathbf{c}}_{k_i}}{k \hat{\mathbf{c}}_{k_i} k} \right| \geq \frac{1}{2} + (1 + \frac{1}{2}) \left| \mathbf{g}_i^\top \frac{\hat{\mathbf{c}}_j}{k \hat{\mathbf{c}}_j k} \right|; \quad \forall j \neq k_i;$$

which leads to the following sufficient condition

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq K} \left| \mathbf{g}_i^\top \frac{\hat{\mathbf{c}}_j}{k \hat{\mathbf{c}}_j k} \right| \leq \frac{1 - 2}{2(1 + \frac{1}{2})} = g_0 \stackrel{(4)}{=} \frac{2 \sqrt{5 \ln(3K)}}{3} = \sqrt{2 \ln(3K)}. \quad (11)$$

It is easy to verify that for any fixed direction $\hat{\mathbf{c}}$ with $k \hat{\mathbf{c}} k = 1$, $\mathbf{g}_i^\top \hat{\mathbf{c}}$ is a Gaussian random variable with mean 0 and variance $\frac{1}{2}$. Based on the tail bound for the Gaussian distribution (Chang et al., 2011) provided in Appendix B, we have

$$\Pr \left[\max_{1 \leq j \leq K} \left| \mathbf{g}_i^\top \frac{\hat{\mathbf{c}}_j}{k \hat{\mathbf{c}}_j k} \right| \geq g_0 \right] \leq K \exp \left(- \frac{g_0^2}{2} \right):$$

Define

$$= K \exp \left(- \frac{g_0^2}{2} \right) \stackrel{(11)}{=} \frac{1}{3}. \quad (12)$$

In summary, we have proved the following lemma.

Lemma 2. Under the condition in (4), with a probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{3}$, $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{c}_{k_i} + \mathbf{g}_i \geq S_{k_i}$ if S satisfies

$$\max_{1 \leq j \leq K} \left| \mathbf{g}_i^\top \frac{\hat{\mathbf{c}}_j}{k \hat{\mathbf{c}}_j k} \right| \leq g_0;$$

and is assigned to the correct cluster k_i based on the nearest neighbor search (i.e., $\hat{k}_i = k_i$).

Define

$$S_k^1 = \left\{ \mathbf{x}_i \geq S_k : \max_{1 \leq j \leq K} \left| \mathbf{g}_i^\top \frac{\hat{\mathbf{c}}_j}{k \hat{\mathbf{c}}_j k} \right| \leq g_0 \right\} \quad \hat{S}_k \setminus S_k. \quad (13)$$

Since each data point in S_k has a probability at least $\frac{1}{3}$ to be assigned to set S_k^1 , using the Chernoff bound again, we have, with a probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{3}$,

$$\begin{aligned} j \hat{S}_k^1 &= j \hat{S}_k \setminus S_k + j S_k^1 \leq \mathbb{E}[j S_k^1] \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{\mathbb{E}[j S_k^1]} \ln \frac{K}{j S_k^1}} \right) \\ &\leq (1 + \frac{1}{2}) j S_k \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{2}{(1 + \frac{1}{2}) j S_k} \ln \frac{K}{j S_k}} \right) \\ &\stackrel{(12)}{\leq} \frac{2}{3} j S_k \left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{3}{j S_k} \ln \frac{K}{j S_k}} \right) \stackrel{(5), (10)}{\leq} \frac{1}{3} j S_k; \quad \forall k \geq [K]. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

2.3.2. UPPER BOUND OF $j \hat{S}_k \cap S_k$

Define

$$\mathcal{O} = \bigcup_{k=1}^K S_k^1 \quad S \text{ and } \overline{\mathcal{O}} = \bigcup_{k=1}^K (\hat{S}_k \cap S_k) = S \cap \mathcal{O} \quad S:$$

From Lemma 2, we know that with a probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{3}$, each $\mathbf{x}_i \geq S_k$ belongs to the set $S_k^1 \subseteq \mathcal{O}$. Thus, with probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{3}$, each $\mathbf{x}_i \geq S$ belongs to \mathcal{O} . In other words, with probability at most $\frac{1}{3}$, each $\mathbf{x}_i \geq S$ belongs to $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$. Based on the Chernoff bound, we have, with a probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{3}$,

$$j \overline{\mathcal{O}} \leq 2 \mathbb{E}[j \overline{\mathcal{O}}] + 2 \ln \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{3}} \leq 2 j S + 2 \ln \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{3}}. \quad (15)$$

Since $S_k^1 \subseteq S_k$, we have $\hat{S}_k \cap S_k \subseteq \hat{S}_k \cap S_k^1 \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{O}}$. Therefore, with a probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{3}$, we have

$$j \hat{S}_k \cap S_k \leq 2 j S + 2 \ln \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{3}}; \quad \forall k \geq [K]. \quad (16)$$

Combining (10), (14) and (16), we have, with probability at least $1 - 3$

$$kA_k k_\infty \leq \frac{2}{9} \frac{jSj + 2 \ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}}{k/Sj} = \frac{18}{k} \left(\frac{1}{jSj} \ln \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right) = O\left(\frac{1}{jSj}\right); 8k \geq [K]; \quad (17)$$

2.4. Bound for $kB_k k_\infty$

Notice that $f\mathbf{g}_i : \mathbf{x}_i \in \hat{S}_k g$, determined by the estimated centers $\hat{\mathbf{c}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{c}}_K$, is a specific subset of $f\mathbf{g}_i : \mathbf{x}_i \in Sg$. Although \mathbf{g}_i is drawn from the Gaussian distribution $N(0; \frac{2}{9}I)$, the distribution of elements in $f\mathbf{g}_i : \mathbf{x}_i \in \hat{S}_k g$ is unknown. As a result, we cannot direct apply concentration inequality of Gaussian random vectors to bound $kB_k k_\infty$. Let $U_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times K}$ be a matrix whose columns are basis vectors of the subspace spanned by $\hat{\mathbf{c}}_1, \dots, \hat{\mathbf{c}}_K$, and $U_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times (d-K)}$ be a matrix whose columns are basis vectors of the complementary subspace. We then divide each \mathbf{g}_i as

$$\mathbf{g}_i = \mathbf{g}_i^\parallel + \mathbf{g}_i^\perp;$$

where $\mathbf{g}_i^\parallel = U_1 U_1^\top \mathbf{g}_i$, and $\mathbf{g}_i^\perp = U_2 U_2^\top \mathbf{g}_i$.

First, we upper bound $kB_k k_\infty$ as

$$kB_k k_\infty \leq \underbrace{\left\| \frac{1}{j\hat{S}_k j} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \hat{S}_k} \mathbf{g}_i^\perp \right\|_\infty}_{\hat{B}_k^1} + \underbrace{\frac{j\hat{S}_k n S_k^1 j}{j\hat{S}_k j} \left\| \frac{1}{j\hat{S}_k n S_k^1 j} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \hat{S}_k \setminus S_k^1} \mathbf{g}_i^\parallel \right\|_\infty}_{\hat{B}_k^2} + \underbrace{\frac{jS_k^1 j}{j\hat{S}_k j} \left\| \frac{1}{jS_k^1 j} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in S_k^1} \mathbf{g}_i^\parallel \right\|_\infty}_{\hat{B}_k^3}; \quad (18)$$

In the following, we discuss how to bound each term in the right hand side of (18).

2.4.1. UPPER BOUND OF \hat{B}_k^1

Following the property of Gaussian random vector, $\sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \hat{S}_k} U_2^\top \mathbf{g}_i = \left(\sqrt{j\hat{S}_k j} \right)$ can be treated as a $(d - K)$ -dimensional Gaussian random vector. As a result, each element of $U_2 \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \hat{S}_k} U_2^\top \mathbf{g}_i = \left(\sqrt{j\hat{S}_k j} \right)$ is a Gaussian random variable with variance smaller than 1. Based on the tail bound for the Gaussian distribution (Chang et al., 2011) provided in Appendix B and the union bound, with a probability at least $1 - \epsilon$, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \hat{S}_k} \mathbf{g}_i^\perp = \left(\sqrt{j\hat{S}_k j} \right) \right\|_\infty = \left\| U_2 \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \hat{S}_k} U_2^\top \mathbf{g}_i = \left(\sqrt{j\hat{S}_k j} \right) \right\|_\infty \leq \sqrt{2 \ln \frac{Kd}{\epsilon}}; 8k \geq [K];$$

which implies

$$\hat{B}_k^1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln \frac{Kd}{\epsilon}}{j\hat{S}_k j}} \stackrel{(10), (14)}{\leq} \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln \frac{Kd}{\epsilon}}{2 \frac{k}{jSj} \frac{1}{9}}} = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\ln d}{jSj}}\right); 8k \geq [K]; \quad (19)$$

2.4.2. UPPER BOUND OF \hat{B}_k^2

First, we have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{j\hat{S}_k n S_k^1 j} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \hat{S}_k \setminus S_k^1} \mathbf{g}_i^\parallel \right\|_\infty = \left\| \frac{1}{j\hat{S}_k n S_k^1 j} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \hat{S}_k \setminus S_k^1} U_1 U_1^\top \mathbf{g}_i \right\|_\infty = \left\| \frac{1}{j\hat{S}_k n S_k^1 j} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in \hat{S}_k \setminus S_k^1} U_1^\top \mathbf{g}_i \right\|_\infty \quad (20)$$

Since $U_1^\top \mathbf{g}_i =$ can be treated as a K -dimensional Gaussian random vector, based on the tail bound for the χ^2 distribution (Laurent & Massart, 2000), we have with a probability at least $1 - \epsilon$,

$$\|U_1^\top \mathbf{g}_i\| \leq \left(\sqrt{\frac{K}{\epsilon}} + \sqrt{2 \ln \frac{1}{\epsilon}} \right)$$

Applying the union bound again, with a probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{K}$, we have

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq |S|} \|U_1^\top \mathbf{g}_i\| \leq \left(\rho_{\overline{K}} + \sqrt{2 \log \frac{jSj}{K}} \right) \quad (21)$$

Combining (20) and (21), we have

$$\widehat{B}_k^2 \leq \frac{9}{k} \left(\rho_{\overline{K}} + \frac{1}{jSj} \ln \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \left(\rho_{\overline{K}} + \sqrt{2 \log \frac{jSj}{K}} \right) = O\left(\sqrt{\ln jSj} \right) + O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\ln jSj}}{jSj} \right); \delta k \geq [K]: \quad (22)$$

2.4.3. UPPER BOUND OF \widehat{B}_k^3

First, we have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{jS_{kj}^1} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in S_k^1} \mathbf{g}_i \right\|_\infty = \left\| U_1 \frac{1}{jS_{kj}^1} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in S_k^1} U_1^\top \mathbf{g}_i \right\|_\infty = \left\| \frac{1}{jS_{kj}^1} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_i \in S_k^1} U_1^\top \mathbf{g}_i \right\| := u_k \quad (23)$$

Recall the definition of S_k^1 in (13). Due to the fact that the domain is symmetric, we have $\mathbb{E}[U_1^\top \mathbf{g}_i] = 0$. Under the condition in (21), we can invoke the following lemma to bound u_k .

Lemma 3. (Lemma 2 from (Smale & Zhou, 2007)) Let H be a Hilbert space and \mathbf{g}_i be a random variable on $(Z; \mathcal{H})$ with values in H . Assume $k \leq M < 1$ almost surely. Denote $\mathbb{E}(k^2) = \mathbb{E}(k^2)$. Let $\{\mathbf{g}_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be independent random drawers of \mathbf{g}_i . For any $0 < \delta < 1$, with confidence $1 - \delta$,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (\mathbf{g}_i - \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{g}_i]) \right\| \leq \frac{2M \ln(2/\delta)}{m} + \sqrt{\frac{2 \mathbb{E}(k^2) \ln(2/\delta)}{m}}$$

From Lemma 3 and the union bound, with a probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{K}$, we have

$$u_k \leq \left(\rho_{\overline{K}} + \sqrt{2 \log \frac{jSj}{K}} \right) \left(\frac{2 \ln(2K/\delta)}{jS_{kj}^1} + \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln(2K/\delta)}{jS_{kj}^1}} \right); \delta k \geq [K]: \quad (24)$$

Combining (23) and (24), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{B}_k^3 &\leq \left(\rho_{\overline{K}} + \sqrt{2 \log \frac{jSj}{K}} \right) \left(\frac{2}{jS_{kj}^1} \ln \frac{2K}{\delta} + \sqrt{\frac{2}{jS_{kj}^1} \ln \frac{2K^2}{\delta}} \right) \\ &\stackrel{(10), (14), (5)}{\leq} \left(\rho_{\overline{K}} + \sqrt{2 \log \frac{jSj}{K}} \right) 2 \sqrt{\frac{9}{k jSj} \ln \frac{2K}{\delta}} = O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\ln jSj}{jSj}} \right); \delta k \geq [K]: \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

In summary, under the condition that (10), (14) and (15) are true, with a probability at least $1 - \frac{1}{3}$,

$$kB_k k_\infty \leq O\left(\sqrt{\ln jSj} \right) + O\left(\frac{\sqrt{\ln jSj} + \rho_{\overline{\ln d}}}{\sqrt{jSj}} \right); \delta k \geq [K]: \quad (26)$$

A. Chernoff Bound

Theorem 2 (Multiplicative Chernoff Bound (Angluin & Valiant, 1979)). Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be independent binary random variables with $\Pr[X_i = 1] = p_i$. Denote $S = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $\mu = \mathbb{E}[S] = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$. We have

$$\Pr[S \leq (1 - \delta)\mu] \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\delta^2 \mu}{2}\right); \text{ for } 0 < \delta < 1;$$

$$\Pr[S \geq (1 + \delta)\mu] \leq \exp\left(-\frac{\delta^2 \mu}{2 + \delta}\right); \text{ for } \delta > 0;$$

Therefore,

$$\Pr \left[S \leq \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\delta} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}} \right) \right] \geq \exp \left(-\frac{2}{\delta} \right) > 1 - \delta; \\ \Pr \left[S \leq \left(1 - \frac{\ln \frac{1}{\delta} + \sqrt{2 \ln \frac{1}{\delta}}}{\delta} \right) \right] \geq 1 - \delta; \text{ for } 0 < \delta < 1;$$

B. Tail bounds for the Gaussian distribution

Theorem 3 (Chernoff-type upper bound for the Q -function (Chang et al., 2011)). *The Q -function defined as*

$$Q(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_x^\infty \exp \left(-\frac{t^2}{2} \right) dt$$

is the tail probability of the standard Gaussian distribution. When $x > 0$, we have

$$Q(x) \leq \frac{1}{x} \exp \left(-\frac{x^2}{2} \right);$$

Let $X \sim N(0, 1)$ be a Gaussian random variable. According to Theorem 3, we have

$$\Pr [|X_j| \geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{\delta}}] \leq \exp \left(-\frac{2}{\delta} \right); \text{ or} \\ \Pr \left[|X_j| \geq \sqrt{2 \ln \frac{1}{\delta}} \right] \leq \delta;$$

References

- Angluin, D. and Valiant, L.G. Fast probabilistic algorithms for hamiltonian circuits and matchings. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 18(2):155–193, 1979.
- Chang, Seok-Ho, Cosman, Pamela C., and Milstein, Laurence B. Chernoff-type bounds for the gaussian error function. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 59(11):2939–2944, 2011.
- Laurent, B. and Massart, P. Adaptive estimation of a quadratic functional by model selection. *The Annals of Statistics*, 28(5):1302–1338, 2000.
- Smale, Steve and Zhou, Ding-Xuan. Learning theory estimates via integral operators and their approximations. *Constructive Approximation*, 26(2):153–172, 2007.